Free Rad. Res. Comms., Vol. 19, No. *5,* pp. 303-314 Reprints available directly from the publisher Photocopying permitted by license only

INACTIVATION OF LIPOAMIDE DEHYDROGENASE BY COBALT(I1) AND IRON(I1) FENTON SYSTEMS: EFFECT OF METAL CHELATORS, THIOL COMPOUNDS AND ADENINE NUCLEOTIDES

J. GUTIERREZ CORREA and A.O.M. STOPPANI'

Bioenergetics Research Centre, School of Medicine, University of Buenos Aires, Paraguay 2155, 1121-Buenos Aires, Argentina

(Received, April 30, 1993; in revised form, June 29, 1993)

Fe(I1)-and Co(I1)-Fenton systems (FS) inactivated the lipoamide reductase activity but not the diaphorase activity of pig-heart lipoamide dehydrogenase (LADH). The Co(I1) system was the more effective as LADH inhibitor. Phosphate ions enhanced the Fe(1I)-FS activity. EDTA, DETAPAC, DL-histidine, DLcysteine, glutathione. DL-dithiothreitol, DL-lipoamide, DL-thioctic acid, bathophenthroline, trypanothione and ATP, but not ADP or AMP, prevented LADH inactivation. Reduced disulfide compounds were more effective protectors than the parent compounds. Mg ions counteracted ATP protective action. Glutathione and DL-dithiothreitol partially restored the lipoamide dehydrogenase activity of the Fe(1l)- FS-inhibited LADH. DL-histidine exerted a similar action on the Co(I1)-FS-inhibited enzyme. Ethanol, mannitol and benzoate did not prevent LADH inactivation by the assayed Fenton systems and, accordingly, it is postulated that site-specific generated HO' radicals were responsible for LADH inactivation. With the Co(II)-FS, oxygen reactive species other than HO', might contribute to LADH inactivation.

- KEY WORDS: Lipoamide dehydrogenase; cobalt(I1); iron(I1); Fenton systems; hydrogen peroxide; enzyme inactivation; nucleoside phosphates; metal chelators; lipoamide; thiol compounds; trypanothione.
- Abbreviations and chemical terms used: LADH, lipoamide dehydrogenase (NADH-lipoamide oxidoreductase, EC 1.6.4.3); ROS, reactive oxygen species; Fe(I1)-FS, iron(I1) Fenton system; Co(l1)-FS, cobalt(I1) Fenton-like system; lipoamide, DL-6,8-thioctic acid amide; thioctic acid, DL-6.8-thioctic (lipoic) acid; DETAPAC, **diethylenetriaminepentaacetic** acid; DCI, dichlorophenolindophenol; SOD, superoxide dismutase; GSH and **GSSG,** reduced and oxidized glutathione, respectively; DTT, DL-dithiothreitol; TBA, thiobarbituric acid.

INTRODUCTION

Mammalian lipoamide dehydrogenase, a flavoprotein disulfide oxidoreductase, is a common component of α -oxoacid dehydrogenase complexes, such as the pyruvate dehydrogenase complex, the α -oxoglutarate dehydrogenase complex and the branched chain α -oxoacid dehydrogenase complex¹⁻³. Two Cys and one His residue seem to be essential constituents of the catalytic site⁴. In most eukaryotic organisms, **LADH** is a matrix-located mitochondria1 enzyme and, therefore, it may

¹To whom correspondence should be addressed:

be reasonable to assume that LADH should be accessible to ROS generated at the inner mitochondrial membrane^{5,6}, matrix LADH⁷ or both. ROS include HO radicals, hydrogen peroxide, and hypervalent iron-peroxy complexes, which are capable of modifying proteins and, accordingly, of inactivating enzymes $8-12$. Mitochondria lack catalase¹³, a powerful anti-oxidant, and mitochondrial LADH may then be a candidate for oxidative damage. In order to test LADH sensitivity to ROS, we assayed the Fe(II)-FS (Fe(II) + H₂O₂) and a Fenton-like system $(Co(II) + H₂O₂)$ on LADH. The FSs used were selected on the basis of (a) their capability of generating ROS, in particular HO radicals^{8-10, 14-18}; (b) the possible presence of Fe(II) in mitochondria, as a "low-molecular-mass" pool⁹ and (c) the role of Co(1I) as an essential trace element for mammalian nutrition, and also as a carcinogenic agent *19.* The results obtained prove that ROS can inactivate LADH but two mitochondrial metabolites, namely, ATP and glutathione can protect LADH from oxidative damage.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Materials. Porcine heart LADH (Type III), lipoamide, thioctic acid, NAD⁺, KH_2PO_4 , K_2HPO_4 , ATP, ADP, AMP, EDTA, DETAPAC, bathophenanthroline, GSH, **GSSG,** DTT, DCI and SOD were purchased from Sigma Chemical Co., (St. Louis, MO, USA). Trypanothione was purchased from Bachem Bioscience Inc. (Philadelphia, PA, USA). The specific activity of the original LADH preparation ranged from 115 to 150 units/mg protein. Standard electrophoretic analysis on polyacrylamide dodecyl sulfate gels yielded a single component of 53000 D (experimental data omitted). FeSO₄.7H₂O was purchased from Analar, BDH Ltd. (Poole, England) and $CoCl₂$.6H₂O was purchased from Mallinckrodt Chemical Works (New York, USA). Dihydrolipoamide was prepared from lipoamide by NaBH₄ reduction, as described²⁰. Its purity was determined spectrophotometrically at 340 nm, using $0.3 \mu g$ LADH/ml and 1.0 mM NAD⁺. Other reagents were as stated $2¹$.

Enzymeassays. LADH activity was measured by the rate of NADH oxidation using lipoamide as electron acceptor²¹. The standard reaction mixtures contained 50 mM KH_2PO_4 -K₂HPO₄, pH 7.4, 4 nM LADH, 0.2 mM NADH and 1.0 mM lipoamide; total volume, **3.0** ml. NADH concentration in the reaction mixture was measured spectrophotometrically, at 340 nm. Diaphorase activity was measured by the rate of DCI reduction using NADH as electron donor²¹. The reaction mixture contained 0.2 mM NADH, 8 nM LADH, $40 \mu M$ DCI, 50 mM K-phosphate buffer, pH 7.4. Total volume, 3.0 ml. DCI reduction was measured spectrophotometrically, at 600 nm $(\epsilon = 19 \text{.mM}^{-1} \text{.cm}^{-1})$. Spectrophotometric measurements were performed using a Perkin-Elmer **550s** UV/VIS spectrophotometer, at 30".

Assay of FS activity. Unless stated otherwise, samples containing **4.0** nM LADH in 50 mM K-phosphate buffer, pH 7.4 were incubated 90 s with the FS (25 μ M Fe(II) or Co(II) and 3.0 mM H_2O_2). NADH and lipoamide were then added and LADH activity was measured from the initial slope of the recorded tracing. Fresh FeSO, solutions were prepared immediately before use. Similar samples of LADH less FS were incubated simultaneously and the enzyme residual activity was measured (control samples). A similar experimental procedure was utilized for measuring LADH

diaphorase activity, except for the final composition of the reaction mixture which was as indicated above.

Assay of TBA-reactive products. Deoxyribose oxidation was monitored by heating solutions (0.5 ml) for 10 min at 100° C with 0.5 ml TBA (1 g/100 ml 0.05 M NaOH) and 0.5 ml trichloroacetic acid **(2.8** g/lOO ml). Absorbance at **532** nm was read against a blank containing both reagents²². Other experimental conditions were as described in Ref. 22 and Table 11.

Expression of Results. LADH activity is expressed in μ mol NADH oxidized/min per mg protein. Taking into account the limited variation of the activity of LADH preparations, in most cases relative activity values are presented (100% for the control sample). Protection (P) against FSs was calculated from Equation 1: where P, FS and FSP are the protector activity, the FS effect,

 $P(\%) = 100 \text{ (FS}(\%)) - FSP(\%)) / FS(\%)$ (1)

and the FS plus protector effect, respectively.

RESULTS

Incubation of LADH with Fenton systems caused significant diminution of NADHlipoamide reductase activity (Table I), especially with the Co(I1) system, but at variance with these inhibitions, LADH diaphorase activity did not decrease, and even increased with Co(I1) (Table **I).** Inhibition of lipoamide reductase activity largely exceeded the sum of H_2O_2 and the Me(II)-induced inhibitions, thus indicating the role of the Fenton reaction in LADH inactivation. H₂O₂ could not be replaced by tert-butylhydroperoxide **(2.6** mM) or cumene-hydroperoxide **(3.5** mM) (experimental data omitted). The effect of H_2O_2 itself was relatively small (16%). The results in Table I represent a typical experiment. However, with the Fe(I1)-FS the extent of LADH inactivation varied somewhat (e.g. Table V) and occasionally, longer incubation periods were necessary in order to obtain similar inactivation.

Production of HO' by Fenton systems supplemented with LADH was investigated

TABLE i Effect of **Fenton systems on LADH**

Additions	Lipoamide reductase activity	Diaphorase activity		
	$(\mu \text{mol} \text{NADH/min})$ per mg protein)	$(\mu \text{mol } DCI/m$ in per mg protein)		
None	104 ± 12	7.60 ± 0.10		
H_2O_2	$87 \pm 14(14)$	7.27 ± 0.35		
Fe(II)	$69 \pm 12(33)$	6.88 ± 0.64		
$Fe(II) + H2O2$	$24 \pm 10(77)$	7.30 ± 0.15		
Co(II)	$71 \pm 9(32)$	7.41 ± 0.11		
$Co(II) + H2O2$	$9 \pm 7(91)$	14.1 ± 0.11		

Experimental conditions were as described under Materials and Methods. 3.0 mM H_2O_2 and 25 μ M Fe(II) or Co(II). Values represent the average \pm S.E. of at least 3 determinations. In parenthesis, inhibition of LADH activity. Lipoamide reductase activity: μ mol NADH/min per mg protein. Diaphorase activity: μ mol DCI/min per mg protein. NADH oxidation in samples without LADH (all samples), *0.000-0.005* **AA/min.**

The reaction mixture contained 50 mM K-phosphate, pH 7.4, 3.0 mM deoxyribose, 1 pM LADH and additions as indicated above; final volume 0.5 ml. After incubation at 30°C for the time indicated above, TBA-reactive products were measured. Other experimental conditions were as described under Materials and Methods and Table I legend. Values after subtracting the blank absorbancy (0.045).

by the deoxyribose method **14,22.** The results obtained (Table **11)** indicate that (a) the Fe(1I)-FS was at least twice as effective as the Co(I1)-FS; (b) the Co(I1)-FS was also an effective generator of HO' radicals but a relatively longer incubation was necessary to demonstrate its maximal activity; (c) Fe(II) ions or H_2O_2 alone were weak generators of HO' radicals and Co(I1) ions alone did not catalyze HO' production; (d) EDTA and DETAPAC inhibited HO' production by the Fe(I1)-FS. Blank values were relatively small and did not increase during incubation (experimental data omitted). These results fit in well with those obtained using Fenton **l4** systems without LADH. Comparison of the results in Tables **I** and **I1** shows no correlation between the capability of the assayed systems of inhibiting LADH, on the one hand, and of generating HO' radicals, on the other. Moreover, Fe(I1) and Co(I1) were equally effective as LADH inhibitors despite the incapability of the latter of oxidizing deoxyribose. These data do not rule out the intervention of HO' radicals in the Co(I1)- FS reaction. Rather, they indicate the contribution of several ROS¹⁵⁻¹⁸ to LADH inactivation by the Co(I1)-FS.

Phosphate ions (50 mM) strongly increased LADH inactivation by the Fe(I1)-FS (Table III), in close agreement with the increase of HO^r production by Fe(II)²². LADH inactivation was also affected by the ionic strength of the reaction mixture, as shown by adding **1 .OM** phosphate (Table **111)** or **0.3** M KCI (experimental data omitted). Phosphate ions were not essential for the Co(I1)-FS action but increasing phosphate concentration from 0.05 to 1 **.O** M prevented LADH inactivation about 90% (experimental data omitted).

EDTA, DETAPAC, DL-histidine, DL-cysteine, **GSH,** bathophenanthroline, DTT and trypanothione (a spermidine-glutathione conjugate, disulfide form)²³ completely, or almost completely prevented LADH inactivation by the Fe(I1)-FS (Table **IV). GSSG** was less effective than GSH and, as regards trypanothione, the relatively low concentration at which it protected LADH fits in well with those protecting transforming DNA against γ -irradiation²⁴. EDTA, DETAPAC, bathophenthroline and DL-histidine also prevented LADH inactivation by the Co(I1)-FS but GSH, *GSSG* and DTT were less effective than with the Fe(I1)-FS. LADH protection was always obtained when the metal-complexing agents were added before the Fenton system. When added after incubation (Table **V),** GSH and DTT partially reactivated the Fe(I1)-treated enzyme, whereas DL-histidine reactivated the Co(I1)-

Experimental conditions were as described under Materials and Methods, except the buffer system which is indicated above. Activity values represent the average \pm S.D. of 3 or more determinations in μ mol NADH/min per mg protein.

Addition	LADH inactivation $(\%)$			
μ M)	$Fe(II) + H, O,$	$Co(II) + H2O2$	FS omitted	
None	77	95		
EDTA(25)	$-32(141)$	$-9(109)$	0.4	
EDTA (50)	$-38(149)$	$-18(119)$	-0.5	
DETAPAC ₍₅₀₎	$-17(117)$	0(100)	-4.4	
DL-histidine (50)	4 (94)	17 (82)	6.6	
DL-cysteine (50)	$-9(111)$	7(92)	2.8	
Trypanothione (5)	9(91)		-1.9	
Trypanothione (10)	7(93)		1.6	
Bathophenanthroline (0.10)	3.5(96)	11 (89)	1.2	
GSH (25)	0(100)	48 (49)	5.6	
GSH (50)	0(100)	50 (43)	7.0	
GSSG(25)	22 (71)	60 (32)	3.5	
GSSG (50)	35 (57)	70 (20)	5.7	
DTT (25)	0(100)	40 (57)	-2.7	
DTT (250)	4 (94)	40 (57)	-3.2	

TABLE IV Effect of chelators, thiol and disulfide compounds on LADH inactivation by Fenton systems

Experimental conditions were as described under Materials and Methods. The order of additions of reagents to the LADH containing reaction mixture was: I) the protector; 2) the FS; 3) after 90 s, the substrates. In parenthesis, protection (P) of LADH by additions. Negative inhibition values indicate that LADH activity measured in the presence of FS and chelator exceeded that of the control sample. Control sample relative activity, 100%. Values for NADH oxidation in samples without LADH were as follows (in ΔA /min; in parenthesis, addition). With the Fe(II)-FS: 0.000 (none; 25 μ M EDTA; 50 μ M DL-histidine or DETAPAC); 0.003 (50 μ M EDTA; 25 μ M DETAPAC). With the Co(II)-FS: 0.000 (25 or 50 μ M EDTA; 50 μ M DETAPAC); 0.002 (none; 50 μ M GSH); 0.003 (25 μ M GSH or GSSG; 50 μ M DL-cysteine); 0.005 (50 μ M GSSG); 0.010 (50 μ M DL-histidine).

Fenton system	LADH activation $(\%)$					
	DL-histidine		GSH		DTT	
	$25 \mu M$	50 μ M	$25 \mu M$	50 μ M	$25 \mu M$	$250 \mu M$
$Fe(II) + H2O2$ $Co(II) + H_2O_2$	-4.4 23	-8.9 36	39 -58	39	25 35	4.4 -28

TABLE V Activation of Fenton-inhibited LADH by thiol compounds

Experimental conditions were as described under Materials and Methods. The order of addition of reagents to the LADH containing reaction mixture was: 1) the FS; **2)** after **90 s** incubation, the activator; **3)** the substrates. The values presented were calculated from the equation $a(\%) = (i - ip)/i(\%)$ where *i* and *ip* are the inhibition of LADH by the FS, and by the FS plus activator, respectively. Negative values mean that the activator enhanced the effect of the FS. Control sample relative activity, 100%.

treated enzyme. EDTA was inactive on both FS-treated LADH preparations (experimental data omitted). In contrast to results in the presence of Fenton system, metal-complexing agents did not affect LipDH activity to any significant degree (Table **IV).**

Figure 1 shows the effect of increasing concentration of Cys and GSH on Fe(I1) and $Co(II)$ -FS inhibitions. With the former system, a $SH/Fe(II)$ molar ratio of about **1** : 1 determined complete protection of LADH. **As** regards the Co(I1)-FS, total protection was obtained at a Cys/Co(II) molar ratio of about $4:1$ whereas $100 \mu M$ GSH prevented LADH inactivation by *60%.*

Figure **2** shows the effect of lipoamide and thioctic (lipoic) acid (reduced and oxidized forms) on the Fe(I1)-FS activity. The dithiol compounds were always more

Figure **1** Effect of DL-cysteine (Cys) and reduced glutathione (GSH) on LADH inactivation by Fe(1l)-FS (A, **a)** and Co(I1)-FS **(V,** *0).* Experimental conditions were as described under Materials and Methods. Samples contained the thiol compound as indicated on the abscissa and Fe(I1)-FS or Co(I1)-FS as indicated on the Figure. Control sample relative activity, 100%.

Figure **2** Effect of dihydrolipoamide (DL), lipoamide (L), dihydrothioctic (dihydrolipoic) acid (DT) and thioctic (lipoic) acid (T) on LADH inactivation by the Fe(l1)-FS. Experimental conditions were as described under Materials and Methods. Concentration of additions was as indicated on the abscissa. Control sample relative activity, **100%.**

Adenine nucleotide (mM)	LADH inactivation $(\%)$				
	$Fe(II) + H2O2$	Fe(11)	$Co(II) + H2O2$	Co(II)	
None	84	26	92	49	
ATP (0.05)	36(57)	13(50)	74 (20)	30 (39)	
ATP (0.25)	20 (76)	1.1(95)	44 (52)	14(71)	
ADP (0.05)	73 (13)	$28(-8)$	92(0)	$54(-10)$	
ADP (0.25)	75 (11)	26(0)	92 (0)	$50(-2)$	
AMP (0.05)	75 (11)	19(13)	91(1)	47(4)	
AMP (0.25)	$87(-3)$	24(8)	92 (0)	$54(-10)$	

TABLE **VI** Effect of adenine nucleotides on LADH inactivation by Fenton systems

Experimental conditions were as described under Materials and Methods. The figures in parenthesis represent the protective action. (P%) of the adenine nucleotide. Control sample relative activity, 100%. NADH oxidation in samples without LADH ($\Delta A/\text{min}$): (a) 0.05 mM ATP + Fe(II)-FS, 0; (b) 0.05 mM ATP + Fe(I1)-FS, 0; (c) 0.05 mM ADP + **25** pM Fe (It), **0.004;** (d), same as (c) plus Fe (II)-FS, 0.

effective than the parent disulfides, a remarkable difference being observed with the dihydrolipoamide/lipoamide pair.

Adenine nucleotides, especially ATP and ADP, ligate the "mobile" or "free" iron pool and as a result of complex formation, Fe(I1) catalytic activity is modified^{22,25-29}. Accordingly, it seemed of interest to establish the influence of adenine nucleotides on **FSs** action. The results presented in Table **VI** show that ATP protected LADH against the Fe(I1)-and Co(I1)-FS whereas ADP and AMP did not,

Experimental conditions were as described under Materials and Methods and in Tables IV and V legends. In parenthesis, ATP protecive action. Values for samples containing MgCl₂ and ATP were calculated with (P%) respect to the value for the MgCl₂-containing control sample. Control sample relative activity, **100%.**

at least not to a similar extent. Omission of $H₂O₂$ from the reaction mixture did not modify the nucleotide protection pattern (Table VI). Mg(I1) ions form complexes with ATP³⁰, thus competing with Fe(II) for the same complex²². As expected, Mg(I1) ions prevented the effect of ATP on LADH inhibition (Table VII).

Since LADH activity was measured by the rate of NADH oxidation, it seemed necessary to establish the effect of the assayed Me(l1)-complexes on the latter oxidation. The results obtained with the Fe(I1)-and Co(I1)-FS, using the 50mM **K**phosphate medium, were negative or negligible (foot-notes to Tables **I,** IV and VI), as compared with the rate of NADH oxidation by the LADH supplemented system.

Hydroxyl radical "scavengers" (ethanol, mannitol and Na benzoate) did not affect the inactivation of LADH by either the Fe(I1)-FS (experimental data omitted), or the Co(I1)-FS (Table VIII). SOD prevented the effect of the latter (Table VIII) but not that of the former (experimental data omitted). Thermal inactivation nullified SOD action. Bovine serum albumin also prevented LADH inactivation, as was to be expected from its metal-complexing properties.

Effect of scavengers on LADH inactivation by the Co(I1) Fenton system

Experimental conditions were as described under Materials and Methods and Table **IV** legend. Scavengers were added as indicated above. Control sample relative activity, **100%.** %OD inactivated by heating at I00"C, for 10 min.

DISCUSSION

The results here described indicate that the Fe(I1)-FS inactivated LADH by a mechanism involving ROS, in all probability HO^* radicals^{31,32}. Thus, (a) Fe(II)-FS inhibition of LADH depended on both Fe(II) and H₂O₂ (Table I); (b) H₂O₂ alone was a weak inhibitor of LADH (Table I), in contrast to $H₂O₂$ effect on other enzymes³³⁻³⁴; (c) in the presence of LADH, the Fe(II)-FS oxidized deoxyribose and generated TBA-reactive products, these latter production being inhibited by EDTA and DETAPAC (Table **11);** (d) phosphate ions enhanced the action of the Fe(I1)-FS, like the production of HO' by $Fe(II)^{22}$; (e) thiol compounds (Cys and GSH; Figure **l),** dihydrolipoamide and DL-dihydrothioctic acid (Figure **2),** which are powerful HO' radical scavengers³⁵⁻³⁷, protected LADH (mixtures of Fe(II) salts, H_2O_2 and GSH can produce HO' radicals, but this can only be demonstrated at relatively low GSH concentrations³⁵); (f) Me(II)-complexing agents (EDTA, DETAPAC and DLhistidine)^{38,39} prevented LADH inactivation by the Fe(II)-FS (Tables IV). The latter effect deserves special comment since Me(I1) chelators increase HO' production by Fe(II) or the Fe(II)-FS^{38,39}. Taken together with the absence of LADH protection by scavengers, the effects of the Fe(I1)-complexing agents may be explained by assuming that (a), chelators diminished the concentration of Fe(I1) ions available for binding to LADH and, consequently, for producing the Fe(I1)-LADH complex. This complex would catalyze site-specific production of HO' radicals which would react with essential amino acid residues at the catalytic site, thus inactivating the enzyme. Vice versa, HO' radicals produced "in free solution" by chelated Fe(I1) would not modify LADH because of their inaccessibility to the catalytic site. This hypothesis seems to be the most adequate for explaining LADH inactivation by the Fe(I1)-FS. Nevertheless, the role of hypervalent iron complex cannot be absolutely ruled out.

As regards the nature of the oxidized amino acids residues, disulfide and thiol groups might be selective targets^{8,12,35-37,40}. In close agreement with this hypothesis, (a) GSH and DTT partially restored the activity of Fe(1I)-FS-treated LADH (Table V) and (b) preliminary amino acid analysis of oxidized LADH revealed significant diminution of the enzyme thiols (experimental data omitted). Nevertheless, dilute aqueous solutions of bovine serum albumin or lysozyme give positives test for peroxides after exposure to the Fe(II)-FS⁴¹. The ROS effective on protein peroxidation were the hydroxyl radicals and organic peroxyl. Glutamate, isoleucine, lysine, proline and valine were peroxidized⁴¹ which means that LADH inactivation by FSs might involve several amino acid residues.

LADH inactivation by the $Co(II)$ -FS seemed to involve a more complex mechanism and differences between the Fe(I1)-and Co(1)-FS are worth noting. Thus, (a) the CO(II) system was more effective than its Fe(II) counterpart (Table I); (b) the Co(I1) system was a less efficient generator of HO', especially after a brief incubation (Table **11);** (c) thiol compounds were able to counteract the effect of the Fe(I1) system completely, but partially the effect of the Co(I1)-system (Table IV and Figure 1); (d) thiol compounds reverted the Fe(I1)-system effect but not the Co(I1) effect and the reverse occurred with DL-histidine (Table V). In this connection, it should be recalled that (a) the $Co(II)$ -FS yields predominantly the DEMPO $/$ ⁻OOH adduct whereas the Fe(II) + FS yields only the DEMPO/'OH adduct^{16,18}; (b) production of the DEMPO/'OOH adduct is inhibited by EDTA and DETAPAC¹⁶, in close agreement with the results in Table 11; (c) the HOO' radical is the protonated form of the superoxide anion radical whose intervention in LADH inactivation was supported by the effect of SOD in Table VIII; (d) with the deoxyribose assay, the $Co(II)$ -

Fe yielded HO' radicals, though the kinetics differed from the Fe(I1)-FS kinetics (Table II). Accordingly, combination of HO and other ROS, possibly O_2^- and/or singlet oxygen¹⁵⁻¹⁸ would explain the greater action of the Co(II)-FS on LADH, as compared with that of the Fe(I1)-FS (Table I).

The results here presented suggest that the "phosphate potential" in heart mitochondria⁴² may exert a controlling action on LADH damage by ROS. In fact, the "phosphate potential" depends mainly on the ATP/ADP ratio⁴². Furthermore, a decrease of this ratio implies an increase of phosphate ion concentration. Decrease of ATP and increase of phosphate ions would enhance the probability of LADH damage by oxygen radicals and a similar effect may result from the binding of ATP by Mg(I1). Thiol compounds, especially dihydrolipoamide and GSH would also contribute to protect LADH against ROS (Table **111;** Figures **1** and **2),** since a primary function of GSH and other thiol compounds in eukaryotic cells involves protection against oxidative stress **3s-37.** *Trypanosoma cruzi,* the agent of Chagas' disease, contains LADH⁴³, and therefore LADH inactivation might contribute towards explaining the action of oxygen radicals on the parasite^{44, $\overline{4}$ 5. Accordingly, trypanothione} might in this organism exert a specific protective action, as suggested by the results in Table TV and Reference **24.**

A ckn o wledgemen ts

This work was aided by grants from the University of Buenos Aires and the Swedish Agency for Research and Cooperation with Developing Countries (SAREC). **J.G.C.** is a Research Fellow of CEDIQUIFA (Argentina). M.A.E. Veron lent able technical assistance.

References

- 1. C.H. Williams Jr. **(1976)** Flavin-containing dehydrogenases in The Enzymes, Vol. **13,** (P.D. Boyer), Academic Press, New York, San Francisco, London, pp. **89-173.**
- **2.** D.J. Carothers, G. Pons and M.S. Patel **(1989)** Dihydrolipoamide dehydrogenase: Functional similarities and divergent evolution of the pyridine nucleotide-disulfide oxidoreductases. *Archives of Biochemistry and Biophysics, 268,* **409-425.**
- **3.** J.E. Jentoft, **M.** Shoham, D. Hurst and M.S. Patel **(1992)** A structural model for human dihydrolipoamide dehydrogenase. *Proteins-Structure, Function and Genetics,* **14, 88-101.**
- **4. S.** Ghisla and V. Massey **(1989)** Mechanism of flavoprotein-catalyzed reactions. *European Journal of Biochemistry,* **181, 1-17.**
- **5. E.** Cadenas, A. Boveris, C.I. Ragan and A.O.M. Stoppani **(1977)** Production of superoxide radicals and hydrogen peroxide by NADH-ubiquinone reductase and ubiquinol-cytochrome *c* from beef heart mitochondria. *Archives* of *Biochemistry and Biophysics,* **180, 248-257.**
- **6.** T. Paraidathathu, **H.** DeGroot and J.P. Kehrer **(1992)** Production of reactive oxygen by mitochondria from normoxic and hypoxic rat heart tissue. *Free Radical Biology* & *Medicine,* **13, 289-297.**
- **7. L.** Grinblat, C.M. Sreider and A.O.M. Stoppani **(1991)** Superoxide anion production by lipoamide dehydrogenase redox-cycling: effect of enzyme modifiers. *Biochemistry International, 23,* **83-92.**
- **8.** E.R. Stadtman **(1990)** Metal ion-catalyzed oxidation of proteins. Biochemical mechanism and biological consequences. *Free Radical Biology* & *Medicine,* **9,** *3* **15-325.**
- **9.** B. Halliwell and J.M.C. Gutteridge **(1992)** Biologically relevant metal ion-dependent hydroxyl radical generation. *Federation European Biochemical Sociefies Letters, 307,* **108-1 12.**
- **10.** B.H.J. Bielski **(1992)** Reactivity of hypervalent iron with biological compounds. *Annals of Neurology, 32,* **S28-SS32.**
- **11.** B. Halliwell, J.M.C. Gutteridge and C.E. Cross **(1992)** Free radicals, antioxidants, and human disease: where are we now? *Journal of Laboratory and Clinical Medicine,* **119, 598-620.**
- **12.** E.R. Stadtman and B.S. Berlett **(1991)** Fenton chemistry. Amino acid oxidation. *Journalof Biological Chemistry, 266,* **17201-1721** 1.

- **13.** B. Chance, H. Sies and A. Boveris **(1979)** Hydroperoxide metabolism in mammalian organs. *Physiological Reviews,* **59, 527-605.**
- **14.** C.P. Moorhouse, B. Halliwell, M. Grootveld and J.M.C. Gutteridge **(1985)** Cobalt(l1) ion as a promoter of hydroxyl radical and possible "cripto-hydroxyl" radical formation under physiological conditions. Differential effects of hydroxyl radicals scavengers. *Biochimica et Biophysica Acta,* **843, 261-268.**
- *15.* K. Yamamoto, *S.* Inoue, A. Yamazaki, T. Yoshinaga and S. Kawanishi **(1989)** Site-specific DNA damage induced by cobalt(l1) ion and hydrogen peroxide: role of singlet oxygen. *Chemical Research in Toxicology,* **2, 234-239.**
- **16.** M.B. Kadiiska, K.R. Maples and R.P. Mason **(1989)** Acomparison ofcobalt(l1)and iron(I1) hydroxyl and superoxide free radical formation. *Archives* of *Biochemistry and Biophysics,* **275, 98-1** 11.
- **17. Z.** Nackerdien, K.S. Kasprzak, G. Rao, B. Halliwell and M. Dizdaroglu **(1991)** Nickel(l1)-and cobalt(1I)-dependent damage by hydrogen peroxide to the DNA bases in isolated human chromatin. *Cancer Research,* **51, 5837-5842.**
- 18. P.M. Hanna, M.B. Kadiiska and R.P. Mason **(1992)** Oxygen-derived free radical and active oxygen complex formation from cobalt(I1) chelates in vitro. *Chemical Research in Toxicology,* **5, 109-1 15.**
- **19.** D. Beyersmann and A. Hartwig **(1992)** The genetic toxicology of cobalt. *Toxicology and Applied Pharmacology,* **115, 137-145.**
- **20.** L.J. Reed, M. Koike, M.E. Levitch and F.R. Leach **(1958)** Studies on the nature and reactions of protein-bound lipoic acid. *Journal* of *Biological Chemistry,* **232, 143-158.**
- **21.** C.M. Sreider, L. Grinblat and A.O.M. Stoppani **(1990)** Catalysis of nitrofuran redox-cycling and superoxide anion production by heart lipoamide dehydrogenase. *Biochemical Pharmacology,* **40, 1849-1857.**
- **22.** B. Tadolini **(1989)** Oxygen toxicity, the influence of adenine-nucleotides and phosphate on **Fe2+** autoxidation. *Free Radical Research Communications,* **5, 237-243.**
- **23.** A.H. Fairlamb and A. Cerami **(1992)** Metabolism and functions of trypanothione in kinetoplastids. *Annual Review of Microbiology,* **46, 695-729.**
- **24. S.** Awad, G.B. Henderson, A. Cerami and K.D. Held **(1992)** Effects of trypanothione on the biological activity of irradiated transforming DNA. *International Journal Radiation Biology,* **62, 401-407.**
- **25.** R.A. Floyd and C.A. Lewis **(1983)** Hydroxyl free radical formation from hydrogen peroxide by fer**rous** iron-nucleotide complexes. *Biochemistry,* **22, 2645-2649.**
- **26,** R.A. Floyd **(1983)** Direct demonstration that ferrous ion complexes of di-snd triphosphate nucleotides catalyze hydroxyl free radical formation from hydrogen peroxide. *Archives of Biochemistry and Biophysics,* **225, 263-270.**
- **27.** H.C. Sutton **(1985)** Efficiency of chelated iron compounds as catalysts for the Haber-Weiss reaction. *Free Radical Biology* & *Medicine,* **1, 195-202.**
- **28.** J.M. Gutteridge, **I.Z.** Nagy, L. Maidt and R.A. Floyd **(1990)** ADP-Iron as a Fenton reactant: radical reactions detected by spin trapping, hydrogen abstraction, and aromatic hydroxylation. *Archives* of *Biochemistry and Biophysics,* **277, 422-428.**
- **29.** J.D. Rush, **Z.** Maskos and W.H. Koppenol **(1990)** Reactions of iron(l1) nucleotide complexes with hydrogen peroxide. *Federation European Biochemical Societies Leiters,* **261, 121 -123.**
- **30. T.** Glonek **(1992)** 31P NMR of Mg-ATP in dilute solutions: complexation and exchange. *International Journal of Biochemistry, 24,* **1533-1559.**
- **31. S.** Croft, Gi1bert.B.C.. J.R. Lindsay Smith and A.C. Whitwood **(1992)** An E.S.R.: investigation of the reactive intermediate generated in the reaction between Fe¹¹ and H₂O₂ in aqueous solution. Direct evidence for the formation of the hydroxyl radical. *Free Radical Research Communications,* **17, 21-39.**
- **32.** M.J. Burkitt **(1993)** ESR spin trapping studies into the nature of the oxidizing species formed in the Fenton reaction: pitfalls associated with the **use** of **5,5-dimethyl-l-pyrroline-N-oxide** in the detection of the hydroxyl radical. *Free Radical Research Communications,* **18, 43-57.**
- **33.** H. Miller and A. Claiborne **(1991)** Peroxide modification of monoalkylated glutathione reductase. *Journal of Biological Chemistry,* **266, 19342-19350.**
- **34.** Y.J. Suzuki, **J.D.** Edmondson and G.D. Ford **(1992)** Inactivation of rabbit muscle creatine kinase by hydrogen peroxide. *Free Radical Research Communications,* **6, 131-136.**
- **35.** B, Halliwell and J.M.C. Gutteridge **(1989)** Free Radicals in Biology and Medicine **2nd** Clarendon Press, Oxford.
- **36.** D.J. Reed **(1985)** Nitrosoureas in *Oxidative Stress,* **Vol.,** (H. Sies), Academic Press, London, pp. **115-130.**
- **37.** D.J. Reed **(1990)** Glutathione: toxicological implications. *Annual Review of Pharmacology and Toxicolog~,* **30, 603-63** 1.

- **38.** J.D. Rush and W.H. Koppenol(1986) Oxidizing intermediates in the reaction of ferrous-EDTA with hydrogen peroxide. *Journal of Biological Chemistry,* **261, 6730-6733.**
- **39.** M.J. Burkitt and B.C. Gilbert **(1991)** The autoxidation of iron(l1) in aqueous systems: the effect of iron chelation by physiological, non-physiological and therapeutic chelators on the generation of reactive oxygen species and the inducement of biomolecular damage. *Free Radical Research Communications,* **14, 107-123.**
- **40.** E.R. Stadtman **(1992)** Protein oxidation and aging. *Science,* **257, 1220-1224.**
- **41. S.** Gebicki and J.M. Gebicki **(1993)** Formation of peroxides in aminoacids and proteins exposed to oxygen free radicals. *Biochemical Journal,* **289, 743-749.**
- **42.** G. Brierly and R.L. O'Brien **(1965)** Compartmentation of heart mitochondria. **11.** Mitochondria1 adenine nucleotides and the action of atractyloside. *Journal of Biological Chemistry,* **240,4532-4539.**
- **43.** M.P. Molina Portela and A.O.M. Stoppani **(1991)** Lipoamide dehydrogenase from *Trypanosoma cruzi:* some properties and cellular localization. *Biochemistry International,* **24. 147-155.**
- **44.** R. Docampo and A.O.M. Stoppani **(1979)** Generation of superoxide anion and hydrogen peroxide induced by nifurtimox in *Trypanosoma cruzi.* Archives of Biochemistry and Biophysics, **197, 317-321.**
- **45.** A. Boveris, R. Docampo, J.F. Turrens and A.O.M. Stoppani **(1978)** Effect P-lapachone on superoxide anion and hydrogen peroxide production by *Trypanosoma cruzi. Biochemical Journal,* **175, 431-439.**

Accepted by Professor **H. Sies**

